

XVI^{èmes} Journées Nationales Génie Côtier – Génie Civil Le Havre, 2020 DOI:10.5150/jngcgc.2020.016 © Editions Paralia CFL disponible en ligne – http://www.paralia.fr – available online

Numerical study of longshore variability in run-up along an idealized embayed beach

Jonas PINAULT¹, Denis MORICHON¹, Stéphane ABADIE¹, Volker ROEBER¹

1. Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, E2S UPPA, SIAME, Chaire HPC-Waves, Anglet, France.

jonas.pinault@univ-pau.fr; denis.morichon@univ-pau.fr; stephane.abadie@univ-pau.fr; volker.roeber@univ-pau.fr

Abstract:

It is of common knowledge that energetic swell events bare the potential of threatening people and infrastructure in densely inhabited coastal regions. Parametric formulations, based on various data-sets, have been commonly employed to easily estimate wave runup based on offshore conditions and beach parameters. These formulations are however mostly based on open beaches data-sets and their accuracy in complex 3D configuration such as an embayed beach is thus questionable.

In this numerical study, a phase-resolving Boussinesq-type model is used to investigate the longshore distribution of wave run-up in an idealized embayed beach from an oblique wave approach in order to isolate the sole effects of the geologically constrained configuration. The numerical model accounts for all major physical processes including refraction, diffraction, reflection, shoaling, breaking. Different scenarios are considered from moderately to extremely energetic incident wave conditions and bay orientations.

The run-up is found to vary significantly alongshore for the majority of the tested scenarios (run-up elevation can vary by a factor 3). A spectral analysis of the water levels shows that this variability is tightly connected to the infragravity waves (0.002 to 0.04 Hz). The relative contribution of those long waves to the total run-up varies along the beach displaying hotspots where wave energy focuses leading to highest run-up values. Those results, obtained for an idealized embayed beach, suggest to further investigation on the role of infragravity waves including the processes of nearshore wave transformation in more realistic embayement conditions in order to improve flooding risk assessment in this particular type of beach.

Keywords: Embayed beach, Run-up, Infragravity waves, Boussinesq-type model, Longshore variability.

1. Introduction

The total water elevation at the coast is composed of two components: the tide (astronomical and meteorological) and the incident waves - namely the run-up. Usually, wave run-up is divided into a static component, the wave set-up, and a dynamic component, the swash (HOLMAN & SALLENGER, 1986). The swash, as a time varying

component, can be subdivided into two frequency bands: the incident or short-wave band (usually from 0.04 to 0.25 Hz) and a lower frequency band (from 0.002 to 0.04 Hz) referring to the infragravity band (IG). The contributions of the spectral swash components mostly depend on the beach state (RUESSINK *et al.*, 1998; RUGGIERO *et al.*, 2004; STOCKDON *et al.*, 2006), given by the Iribarren number ξ (BATTJES, 1974). Infragravity dominated run-up is usually found under dissipative conditions (ξ <0.3) and incident dominated run-up under reflective conditions (ξ >1.25). The run-up has been extensively studied in the past decades. Field studies have focused on the relationships between run-up values, beach characteristics and offshore bulk wave parameters (HOLMAN, 1986; STOCKDON *et al.*, 2006; ...). They were mostly conducted on open beaches and during low to mild energetic conditions. Their application to extreme events and beaches with complex geometries (embayed beaches, rocks, coastal structures...) is thus questionable. Several studies have highlighted these limitations (STOCKDON *et al.*, 2014; POWER *et al.*, 2013; ...).

Despite their common presence around the world, embayed (natural or artificial) beaches are still considered complex environments. They are constrained by headlands, and the hydrodynamics and morphological processes within the bay differ from the open beaches depending on the degree of embaymentisation (FELLOWES et al., 2019). Numerous studies were carried out to better understand the behaviors of these complex environments (CASTELLE & COCO, 2012; LOUREIRO *et al.*, 2012) but have mostly focused on sediment transport or morphodynamics. The combined effects of refraction-diffraction processes in the lee of headlands have been intensively studied (GODA *et al.*, 1978) to describe wave heights distribution in embayed beaches but less is known about their effects on wave run-up at the coast.

Considering different storm scenarios and a highly idealized embayed beach configuration, this numerical study, based on a Boussinesq-type model, investigates the relative contribution of the incident and infragravity waves on the run-up intensity and variability.

2. Methodology

2.1 Bathymetry

An idealized bathymetry, comprising a sloping beach constrained between 2 headlands, is used in this study to assess the influence of a semi-enclosed basin on the longshore runup distribution.

The beach cross-shore profile is based on a beach equilibrium profile (DEAN, 1991) given by $z=A(D_{50})x^{2/3}$, where A is the sediment scale parameter depending on D₅₀, the median grain diameter (taken equal to 0.3 mm in our study). It is completed with an 8% slope above the mean sea level, as the beach state considered is intermediate-reflective.

Furthermore, the beach is constrained between two headlands and isobaths within the bay are assumed to be curved (see figure 1).

The degree of embaymentisation (related to the length of the headlands compared to the width of the beach) is the main geological feature controlling the hydrodynamics and morphological processes. The embayment morphometric parameter for this study (FELLOWES *et al.*, 2019) is chosen as 1 (length of the headlands being equal to the width of the beach) as it represents an embayed beach with high coastal indentation, and thus exhibiting different behaviors than open beaches. This idealized beach is 1km long and the headlands extend to 1km offshore from the shoreline. Mean sea level is fixed at 0m.



Figure 1. Contour lines of the bathymetry (area of interest) exposed to waves with an angle of incidence θ (left) and cross-shore transect in the middle of the embayed beach (right). The incident swell direction varies between 0° and 30°.

2.2 Wave conditions

Three different wave conditions are chosen to represent moderate, high, and very energetic swell conditions. Three swell directions are selected to study the influence of the propagation direction of the swell in relation to the orientation of the entrance of the idealized bay. All the scenarios are listed in table 1.

Event	Case	$H_{s}(m)$	$T_{p}(s)$	Direction (°)		
Moderate	1;4;7	2	12	0;15;30		
High	2;5;8	3	15	0;15;30		
Stormy	3;6;9	6	18	0;15;30		

Table 1. Forcing conditions.

A TMA wave spectrum is used to represent the nearshore incident wave conditions at the offshore boundary (depth = 20 m).

2.3 Numerical model

The phase-resolving Boussinesq-type model BOSZ (ROEBER *et al.*, 2010; ROEBER & CHEUNG, 2012a) is used in this study. The model is based on the set of Boussinesq equations by NWOGU (1993) expressed in conservative form. Phases are randomly assigned to the different frequencies. This weakly non-linear and weakly dispersive model inherently accounts for relevant physical processes in coastal zones including refraction, diffraction, reflection, shoaling, dissipation and breaking. In addition, it allows to simulate the generation and transformation of IG waves, which have been shown to contribute to the run-up (STOCKDON *et al.*, 2006). The model has been validated for the relevant processes in different benchmarks (HORRILLO *et al.* 2014; LYNETT *et al.* 2017; ROEBER & CHEUNG, 2012b).

The inside of the bay is 1x1 km and the whole computational domain extends 2.5km offshore and from 1 to 3km on the sides. The resolution is 5m in the longshore direction and 2.5 m in the cross-shore direction. The computation is carried out for one hour including a ramp up time of 15 minutes. The incident wave regime is kept constant during each run. The free surface is recorded at 2 Hz.

2.4 Post processing

The run-up time series is extracted at each longshore location by detecting the water elevation in the furthest wet cell with a threshold depth of 10 cm (STOCKDON *et al.* 2014).

Wave set-up $\langle \eta \rangle$ is calculated as the time-averaged water-level elevation at the shoreline. The swash is obtained after subtracting the set-up from the run-up time series. The runup 2% exceedance value is computed considering the cumulative distribution and its 98th percentile (STOCKDON *et al.*, 2006).

The 45 minutes long signal is separated into segments of 2048 points and is analyzed using a Hanning window with 50% overlapping. The significant swash height is calculated computing the power spectral density, PSD(f):

$$S = \sqrt{\sum PSD}(f)df \tag{1}$$

The cut-off period chosen for the separation of the IG and incident band is 2Tp (SHEREMET *et al.*, 2011). In literature the cut-off frequency is often given as 0.04 Hz but given the variability of Tp in the wave conditions a moving cut-off frequency gives a better representation of the infragravity band.

The significant swash height for the incident and the IG bands are computed by using eq. (1) within their specific ranges.

3. Results

3.1 Variability of *R*_{2%}

To first assess the magnitude of the run-up variability, $R_{2\%}$ along the beach is computed for different incident wave conditions. The results are presented in table 2, where the coefficient of variation (CV) is calculated from the standard deviation (std) divided by the mean.

The analysis of std and CV values of $R_{2\%}$ shows that significant variations are found along the beach, the variability increasing with the wave field obliquity. For the most energetic scenarios (cases 3,6,9) the std ranges from 0.26 m to 0.62 m up to 0.87 m. An increase of more than 500% between the lowest and the highest longshore value of R2% is observed in case 7 (moderate climate and 30° of incidence). For the most energetic case under the highest incidence (case 9), an increase of 212% is noted.

Due to the shadowing of the headlands, which reduces the area exposed to direct swell, the mean longshore $R_{2\%}$ is smaller in the cases of oblique wave fields regardless of the level of incident energy. For example, mean $R_{2\%}$ goes down from 3.89 m to 3.59 m for the stormy case. However, the highest $R_{2\%}$ values occur for oblique conditions. Indeed, the highest $R_{2\%}$ among all the runs is obtained for a 30° incident peak wave angle. It illustrates the fact that despite being partially sheltered by the headlands (reduction of the mean longshore value) under oblique conditions, the run-up is unevenly distributed, leading to the generation of hotspots where the energy is focused with subsequently higher run-up values.

max, std re	spectively i	refer to n	піпітит,	longsho	re mean	and max	ximum value	of R 2%
CV the coe	fficient of v	variation	and min/	max the	ratio of	the max	imum over th	e minin
longshore	value.							
Angle	Case	<i>R</i> 2%						
		min	mean	max	std	CV	max/min	

Table 2. Longshore statistics of $R_{2\%}$ for the different incident wave conditions. Min, mean,
max, std respectively refer to minimum, longshore mean and maximum value of $R_{2\%}$ (m),
CV the coefficient of variation and min/max the ratio of the maximum over the minimum
longshore value.

Anala	Case	270					
Angle		min	mean	max	std	CV	max/min
0°	1	1,12	1,36	1,45	0,08	5,95%	1,3
	2	1,88	2,2	2,39	0,13	5,95%	1,27
	3	3,41	<i>3</i> ,89	4,17	0,26	6,60%	1,22
15°	4	0,69	1,24	1,58	0,24	19,39%	2,31
	5	1,45	2,14	2,68	0,33	15,29%	1,85
	6	2,95	3,84	4,8	0,62	27,57%	2,94
<i>30</i> °	7	0,31	1,08	1,73	0,35	32,09%	5,61
	8	0,87	1,82	2,84	0,5	27,57%	2,94
	9	2,49	3,59	5,29	0,87	24,34%	2,12

Thème 1 – Hydrodynamique côtière

3.2 Analysis of run-up components

Water motion close to the shore is controlled by both the short incident waves and IG waves (0.005-0.04 Hz). In the following, a spectral analysis of the computed swash signal is performed for each scenario to estimate the contribution of each frequency band to the water level variations.

The longshore anomaly (calculated as the difference between the local value and the mean longshore value) of the different run-up components is illustrated on figure 2 in order to understand how the uneven distribution is generated.

The comparison of the anomaly of total $R_{2\%}$ (top panel) with the anomalies of the incident (middle panel) and IG bands (lower panel) shows that the anomaly in the run-up is strongly related to the distribution of the IG swash. Indeed, the same trend and the same orders of magnitude (more than 1.5 m for the most energetic case) are observed. On the contrary, the incident band shows very little deviation, with a maximum anomaly of less than 0.5 m.



Figure 2. Longshore anomalies (difference between the local value and the mean longshore value) of $R_{2\%}$, the significant swash height IG S_{ig} and incident S_{inc} . Curve color: blue: moderate, red: high, yellow: storm, black dashed line: geometric shadow.

Figure 2 confirms that the wave angle induces a substantial anomaly in the run-up distribution. For the most energetic case, the anomaly can be well over 1 meter. As expected, in the shadow zone (right side of the black dashed lines), sheltered from the incident waves by the headland, the run-up anomaly is negative, meaning the values in this area are smaller than the mean value. Outside the shadow zone the run-up gradually

increases to a point of locally high values, in the area exposed to direct swell, close to the opposed headland. It appears that the headland plays a crucial role in focusing the energy leading to a high run-up.

3.3 Contributions of incident and infragravity bands

Figure 3 shows the distribution of the ratio S_{ig}/S_{inc} along the beach. For all wave conditions in the case of a normal incident swell the swash motions are IG dominated $(S_{ig}/S_{inc} > 1)$. For the most energetic conditions, the IG domination is stronger than for the two other cases, which is expected as the conditions are more dissipative. When the swell is approaching the embayed beach with an angle, the contributions of incident and IG-waves vary along the beach, meaning that the energy is redistributed spatially and also in the frequency domain. In the exposed zone, a clear increase of the IG energy is noticeable. The swash motions in all cases become strongly IG-dominated, with values of S_{ig}/S_{inc} higher than 1.5. This means that during a single event, sections of the beach can behave as dissipative, intermediate or reflective.



Figure 3. Longshore evolution of the ratio S_{ig} over S_{inc} for the different conditions. Curve color: blue: 0°, red: 15°, yellow: 30°.

Usually the beach state is given globally for a beach depending on the foreshore slope and offshore conditions (Iribarren number). However, in this configuration a different parametrization should be used to accurately define the beach state.

The different processes (diffraction, reflection) could contribute to the uneven longshore

Thème 1 – Hydrodynamique côtière

distribution of the wave height and therefore impact the wave breaking. As a primary mechanism of energy dissipation and transfer, the distribution of the wave breaking is closely related to the one of the run-up and needs to be further investigated.

4. Conclusions

In this study, the variability of the run-up along an idealized simplified embayed beach was addressed based on the results of a phase-resolving model for different incident wave conditions and bay orientation. The results show that the run-up can vary significantly along this idealized beach, with alongshore hotspots where run-up values can be amplified by a factor of over 1.5 compared to the mean longshore value. As expected, under oblique incident wave conditions the mean longshore $R_{2\%}$ values are smaller due to increasing sheltering effect of the headlands, however this is also when the highest values of $R_{2\%}$ are observed. This study also highlights that the longshore variability of the run-up is essentially controlled by the variability of energy in the IG band. This result suggests that the consideration of IG waves might be critical to correctly address run-up characteristics in an embayed beach including their uneven contribution along the beach, which can vary according to incident wave conditions. This should be confirmed under more realistic beach configurations.

5. References

BATTJES J.A. (1974). *Surf similarity*. Proceedings 14th International Conference on Coastal Engineering, pp 466–480. <u>https://doi.org/10.9753/icce.v14.26</u>

CASTELLE B., COCO G. (2012). *The morphodynamics of rip channels on embayed beaches*, Continental Shelf Research, Vol. 43, pp 10-23. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2012.04.010</u> DEAN R.G. (1991). *Equilibrium beach profiles: characteristics and applications*. Journal of Coastal Research, Vol.7, pp 53-84.

FELLOWES T.E., VILA-CONCEJO A., GALLOP S.K. (2019). *Morphometric classification of swell-dominated embayed beaches*, Marine Geology, Vol. 411, pp 78-87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2019.02.004

GODA Y., TAKAYAMA T., SUZUKI Y. (1978). *Diffraction diagrams for directional random waves*. 16th International Conference on Coastal Engineering. https://doi.org/10.1061/9780872621909.037

HOLMAN R. (1986). *Extreme value statistics for wave run-up on a natural beach*. Coastal Engineering. Vol. 9(6), pp 527-544. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-3839(86)90002-5</u>

HOLMAN R.A., SALLENGER A.H. (1986). Longshore variability of wave run-up on natural beaches. 19th International Conference on Coastal Engineering, pp 1896-1912. https://doi.org/10.1061/9780872624382.128

HORRILLO J., GRILLI S.T., NICOLSKY D., ROEBER V., ZHANG J. (2014). *Performance benchmarking tsunami models for NTHMP's Inundation mapping activities*. Pure Appl. Geophys. Vol. 172, pp 869–884. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-014-0891-y</u>

LOUREIRO C., FERREIRA Ó., COOPER J.A.G. (2012). *Geologically constrained morphological variability and boundary effects on embayed beaches*. Mar. Geol., Vol. 329, pp 1–15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2012.09.010</u>

LYNETT P.J., ROEBER V., HEITMANN T.W., CHEUNG K.F., DAVID C.G. BRIKER J.D. *et al.* (2017). *Inter-model analysis of tsunami-induced coastal currents*. Ocean Modelling, Vol. 114, pp 14-32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2017.04.003</u>

NWOGU O. (1993). Alternative form of Boussinesq equations for nearshore wave propagation. Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal and Ocean Engineering, Vol. 119. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-950X(1993)119:6(618)

POWER H., ATKINSON A., HAMMOND T., BALDOCK T. (2013). Accuracy of wave runup formula on contrasting southeast Australian beaches. Coasts and Ports 2013, 21st Australasian Coastal and Ocean Eng. Conf. & 14th Australasian Port and Harbor Conf., 6 p.

ROEBER V., CHEUNG K.F., KOBAYASHI M.H. (2010). *Shock-capturing Boussinesq-type model for nearshore wave processes*. Coastal Engineering, Vol. 57(4), pp 407–423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2009.11.007

ROEBER V., CHEUNG K.F. (2012a). *Boussinesq-type model for energetic breaking waves in fringing reef environments*. Coastal Engineering. Vol. 70, pp 1-20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2012.06.001</u>

ROEBER V., CHEUNG K.F. (2012b). *BOSZ - Boussinesq Ocean and Surf Zone model*. NOAA, Special Report, Proceedings and Results of the 2011 NTHMP Model Benchmarking Workshop, Galveston, Texas, 437 p.

RUESSINK B.G., KLEINHANS M.G., VAN DEN BEUKEL P.G.L. (1998). *Observations of swash under highly dissipative conditions*. J. Geophys. Res., Vol. 103, pp 3111–3118. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/97JC02791</u>

RUGGIERO P., HOLMAN R.A., BEACH R.A. (2004). *Wave run-up on a high-energy dissipative beach*. J. Geophys. Res. 109, C06025. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JC002160</u>

SHEREMET A., KAIHATU J.M., SU S.-F., SMITH E.R., SMITH J.M. (2011). *Modeling of nonlinear wave propagation over fringing reef.* Coastal Engineering, Vol. 58, pp 1125-1137. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2011.06.007</u>

STOCKDON H., HOLMAN R., HOWD P., SALLENGER A. (2006). *Empirical* parameterization of setup, swash, and runup. Coastal Engineering. Vol. 53(7), pp 573-588. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2005.12.005</u>

STOCKDON H., THOMPSON D., PLANT N., LONG J. (2014). *Evaluation of wave runup predictions from numerical and parametric models*. Coastal Engineering. Vol. 92, pp 1-11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2014.06.004</u>

Thème 1 – Hydrodynamique côtière